Mistakes of Fact and Law: No signifigant Difference between MPC & CL

## Mistakes of Fact

- I. <u>2 Part Test:</u>
  - A. What does this person think he is doing?
  - B. Is that Illegal?
- II. <u>Exculpating mistake of fact</u> the mistake of fact means no mens rea NO CRIME:
  - A. <u>Navarro</u> <u>Even an unreasonable belief</u>\* that the wood beams were abandoned, if true, defeats the Mens Rea requirement
- III.<u>Inculpating mistake of fact</u> the mistake gives mens rea to something that would otherwise be legal GUILTY OF ATTEMPT
  - 1. Thaw thinks he is taking Miller's laptop, but it is really his own. Attempted to steal Miller's Laptop

## Mistakes of Law

- I. <u>Non-Inculpating Mistake of Law</u> you thought you were committing a crime, but no such law exists NO CRIME b/c there is simply no crime to commit or attempt to commit.
  - A. Also called Genuine Legal Impossibility
  - B. A shoots a squirrel thinking it is illegal, but it is actually not illegal to shoot squirrels
- II. <u>Non-Exculpating mistake of Law</u> Mistake of law is no defense GUILTY OF THE CRIME ITSELF
  - 1. <u>Marrero</u> <u>Even a reasonable belief\*</u> that the statute allowing him to carry a handgun applied to him did not save him
  - 2. You shoot a squirrel and did not know it was illegal too bad, mens rea only reqires that you knew that you were shooting a squirrel, knowledge of the law is not needed.
  - 3. EXCEPTIONS:
    - a. Crimes where 'knowing' is an element, e.g. <u>Cheek</u> Case
    - b. Vagueness can violate due process, but don't jump to this one.
    - c. Hybrid situations that involve ignorance about facts related to legal distinctions may
    - d. Special entitlements to break the law, e.g. police buying drugs for a bust

# **Extreme Inherent Impossibility** – Trying to kill by voodoo – NO CRIME

## Cause: No important distinctions between MPC and CL

## Actual Cause

III. Who or what caused the death of the victim? "But for" test: But for the defendant's voluntary act or omission, would the social harm have occurred when it did?

## Foreseeability: the harm must not be "TOO REMOTE OR ACCIDENTAL"

IV. Intervening & Superseding Causes:

- A. Intervening event must be "too remote and accidental" from 's act to superceed.
- B. <u>**Rideout**</u>: After the minor OWI collision, was not responsible for the victims' decision to stay in/near their car on the dark road, where they recognized the risk of being hit by another car.
- C. Don't forget about Attempt Charges
- D. Medical care:
  - 1. Hospital error is not "too remote or accidental"
  - 2. Homicidal nurse is "too remote or accidental"
- E. <u>Victim's own conduct</u> can be a superseding cause